Defendant's failure to comply with this order in an amount equal or greater than one month's support obligation or such date as the Court may designate an attachment of income shall automatically issue.

The Defendant and Plaintiff are further ordered to advise the Domestic Relations Section at Court House Annex, 100 Lincoln Way East, Chambersburg, PA 17201, every change of address or employment, including the name and address of new employer. Costs shall be paid by Donald R. Stouffer within thirty (30) days.

SKVARKA V. HAWKINS, C.P. Fulton County Branch, No. 133 of 1986-C

Expert Witness - Permanent Injuries

- 1. As long as the expert, at some point, states his opinion with sufficient definiteness the opinion will not be held inadmissible merely because it is expressed in weaker terms in other portions of his testimony.
- 2. A party must identify specifically which of his alleged injuries are permanent and which are not.

Bradley R. Bolinger, Esquire, Counsel for the Plaintiffs

Daniel W. Long, Esquire, Counsel for the Defendant

OPINION AND ORDER

WALKER, J., August 11, 1987:

Plaintiffs, Mary and John Skvarka, filed suit against defendant, Olive Hawkins, for injuries arising out of an automobile accident. A jury trial was requested; at the pre-trial conference, two issues remained unresolved. Both parties submitted briefs on the matter.

The first issue presented deals with the admissibility of the testimony of plaintiff's expert witness with regard to carpal tunnel syndrome. Specifically, defendant contends that the plaintiff's expert could not state to the requisite degree of certainty



13 West Main St. P.O. Drawer 391 717-762-8161



TRUST SERVICES
COMPETENT AND COMPLETE



WAYNESBORO, PA 17268 Telephone (717) 762-3121

THREE CONVENIENT-LOCATIONS:
Potomac Shopping Center - Center Square - Waynesboro Mall

24 Hour Banking Available at the Waynesboro Mall

U.S. Postal: STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MAN Required by 39 L		ATION
A_TITLE OF PUBLICATION	1B. PUBLICATION N	
Franklin County Legal Journal	3 7 8 9 5 0	Oct. 1, 1987
PREQUENCY OF ISSUE	ANNUALLY	JB. ANNUAL SUBSCRIPTION PRICE \$25.00 1n
Weekly COMPLETE MAILING ADDRESS OF KNOWN OFFICE OF PUBLICATION ()	52	county*; outside \$20
c/o Kenneth E. Hankins, Jr., Managing Editor	7.50	bound vo
210 Chbg. Trust Co. Bldg., Chambersburg, PA 1	17201	
COMPLETE MAILING ADDRESS OF THE HEADQUARTERS OF GENERAL c/o Kenneth E. Hankins, Jr., Managing Editor		LISHER (Not printer)
210 Chbg. Trust Co. Bldg., Chambersburg, PA I		The second secon
CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE		
Franklin County Legal Journal, c/o Kenneth E. 210 Chbg. Trust Co. Bldg., Chambersburg, PA	Hankins, Jr., Managin 17201	g Editor,
DITOR (Name and Complete Malling Address)	mil 01 1 1 D	A 17201
Kenneth E. Hankins, Jr., 210 Chbg. Trust Co. 1	Bldg., Chambersburg, P.	A 1/201
MANAGING EDITOR (Name and Complete Mailing Address)		
Kenneth E. Hankins, Jr., 210 Chbg. Trust Co. 1		
OWNER (If owned by a corporation, its name and address must be stated and owning or holding I percent or more of total amount of stock. If not owned be given. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, its name and tion is published by a nonprofit organization, its name and address must be st	by a corporation, the names and addr ad address, as well as that of each indi	esses of the individual owners must
FULL NAME	COMPLETÉ MA	ILING ADDRESS
Franklin County Legal Journal (a corporation	c/o Kenneth E. Han	
not for profit, organized on a nonstock	210 Chbg. Trust Co. Bldg.	
basis, comprised of the members of the Franklin County, Pennsylvania, Bar Associ-	Chambersburg, PA	17201
ation).	DERS OWNING OR HOLDING 1 88	ERCENT OR MORE OF TOTAL
KNOWN BONDHOLDERS, MORTGAGES, AND OTHER SECURITY HOL AMOUNT OF BONDS, MORTGAGES OR OTHER SECURITIES (If there are		
FULL NAME None	The second secon	ILING ADDRESS
None	Not applicable	
FOR COMPLETION BY NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS AUTHORIZED T The purpose, function, and nonprofit stetus of this organization and the exert	FO MAIL AT SPECIAL RATES (Section of the purpose of	ion 423.12 DMM only) poles (Check one)
11 121 121 121 121 122 123 1	ONTHS change with this statement.)	
0. EXTENT AND NATURE OF CIRCULATION (See instructions on reverse side)	AVERAGE NO, COPIES EACH ISSUE DURING PRECEDING 12 MONTHS	ACTUAL NO. COPIES OF SINGLE ISSUE PUBLISHED NEAREST TO FILING DATE
A. TOTAL NO. COPIES (Net Press Run)	133	132
PAID AND/OR REQUESTED CIRCULATION Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors and counter sales	41	42
2. Mail Subscription (Paid and/or requested)	65	63
C. TOTAL PAID AND/OR REQUESTED CIRCULATION (Sum of 1081 and 1082)	106	105
D. FREE DISTRIBUTION BY MAIL, CARRIER OR OTHER MEANS SAMPLES, COMPLIMENTARY, AND OTHER FREE COPIES	0	0
E. TOTAL DISTRIBUTION (Sum of C and D)	106	105
F. COPIES NOT DISTRIBUTED 1. Office use, left over, unaccounted, spoiled after printing	27	27
2. Return from News Agents	0	0
G. TOTAL (Sum of E, F1 and 2-should equal net press run shown in A)	133	132
I certify that the statements made by me above are correct and complete	NO TITLE OF EDITOR, PUBLISHE	n, Business MANAGER, OR OWNE

that the accident in question caused, or contributed to, plaintiff's carpal tunnel syndrome.

Expert testimony is properly considered when, taken in its entirety, it expresses reasonable certainty that the incident in question was a substantial factor in bringing about the injury. Smialek v. Chrysler Motors Corp., 290 Pa. Super. 496 (1981). As long as the expert, at some point, states his opinion with sufficient definiteness, the opinion will not be held inadmissible merely because it is expressed in weaker terms in other portions of his testimony. Kravinsky v. Glover, 263 Pa. Super. 8 (1979).

Looking at plaintiff's expert's deposition testimony in its entirety, it appears that he is sure to a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the accident at least aggravated, if not initiated, plaintiff's carpal tunnel syndrome. Since the expert is reasonably certain that the accident was a substantial factor in bringing about the injury, under the *Smialek* standard, his testimony shall be admissible at trial.

The second issue deals with the specificity of plaintiff's pleading with regard to the permanency of her injuries. In paragraph 12 of plaintiff's complaint, she alleges that she has suffered four distinct injuries as a result of the accident in question. Later, in paragraph 14 of the complaint plaintiff alleges generally that her injuries will continue to endure for a period of time unkown. Defendant now protests that plaintiff has not sufficiently identified which of the injuries are expected to be permanent.

It is true that a party must identify specifically which of the injuries alleged are permanent and which are not. See 2A Anderson Pa. Civ. Prac. §1019.69(c) and cases cited therein. Reviewing the precedent cited by defendant, though, it appears that the appropriate remedy is for plaintiff to amend the complaint to specify which injuries are permanent. Though defendant protests that he would be prejudiced if an amendment were allowed at this late date, the prejudice is entirely self-inflicted. Defendant should have brought this deficiency to the court's attention by way of a preliminary objection and a motion for a more specific pleading. Pa. R.C.P. Rule 1017(b)(3). Not having filed such an objection within 20 days of receiving the complaint, defendant's right to do so has been waived. Pa. R.C.P. Rules 1026, 1032.

Since the plaintiffs have offered to amend their complaint, the court shall exercise its power under Pa. R.C.P. Rule 1028(e) and order plaintiffs to make an amendment specifying which of her

injuries are permanent within 5 days of the entry of this order.

ORDER OF COURT

August 11, 1987, it is hereby ordered that the plaintiffs' expert witness may testify concerning the cause and/or effect of the accident regarding plaintiff's carpal tunnel syndrome; and the plaintiffs shall amend the complaint within five days of the entry of this order to specify which of her injuries are permanent.

DEARDORFF, ET AL. V. SHEW, ET AL., C.P. Franklin County Branch, No. A.D. 1985-271

Local Rule 39-1801 - Lack of Prosecution - Extension of Time

- 1. Where an action has been dismissed for want of prosecution the burden to establish good cause for reinstatement is affirmatively on the petitioner.
- 2. The trial courts have an affirmative duty to apply all procedural rules with fairness.
- 3. Where the only prejudice to defendant in reinstating plaintiff's case is the need to defend against the action and plaintiff has given a reasonable explanation for his actions, the requirement of fairness in interpreting rules of court would be violated.
- 4. Where plaintiff sustains injuries in an accident that have not healed to the point where his damages are ascertainable and this is verified by medical evidence, an application for extension is appropriate.

Philip S. Cosentino, Esq., Counsel for Plaintiffs Eileen F. Schoenhofen, Esq., Counsel for Defendants

OPINION AND ORDER

KELLER, P.J. August 26, 1987:

On April 16, 1985, plaintiffs were injured in a motor vehicle accident in Franklin County Pennsylvania.

On October 16, 1985, a writ of summons was issued against Michael Ray Shew, George Transfer and Rigging Company, Inc., and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Trans-



13 West Main St. P.O. Drawer 391 717-762-8161



TRUST SERVICES
COMPETENT AND COMPLETE



WAYNESBORO, PA 17268 Telephone (717) 762-3121

THREE CONVENIENT LOCATIONS:
Potomac Shopping Center - Center Square - Waynesboro Mall

24 Hour Banking Available at the Waynesboro Mall