COMMOMWEALTH V. RUNYON, C.P. Franklin County Branch, Misc. No. 11 of 1983

Criminal Law - Violation of Building Code - Exercise of Police Power - Validity of Fee

- 1. A township ordinance is valid as long as it is applied evenly throughout the township and bears a relationship to the public health, safety, morals or general welfare.
- 2. A building permit fee cannot be used for the purpose of raising revenue.
- 3. A permit fee based on the actual costs of construction is not unreasonable.

John McD. Sharpe, Jr., Esquire, Attorney for Metal Township Donald L. Kornfield, Esquire, Attorney for Clement Runyon District Attorney

OPINION AND ORDER

Eppinger, P.J., May 10, 1984:

Defendant was convicted and fined \$50.00 by a District Justice of the Peace for failing to procure a building permit before he built a shed and animal pen as required by Metal Township Building Code. Ordinance No. 75-21. Defendant appeals from the conviction and fine. We feel that the defendand is guilty of the offense.

¹ No. 75-2 is an ordinance requiring all persons, partnerships, businesses and corporations to obtain a building permit for the construction, reconstruction, enlargement, alteration, or relocation of any building or structure. Section 1.01 specifically deals with applicability:

A. It shall be unlawful for any person, partnership, business or corporation to undertake or cause to be undertaken, the construction, reconstruction, enlargement, alteration, or relocation of any building or structure unless an approved building permit has been obtained from the Municipal Building Permit Officer.



13 West Main St. P.O. Drawer 391 717-762-8161



TRUST SERVICES COMPETENT AND COMPLETE

YOUR CLIENT DESERVES THE BEST

So When It Comes To Property Management Refer Them To Our Full Time PROPERTY MANAGEMENT DEPT.



Dean M. Smuro G.R.I. Broker Rich Bateman Property Mgr.



WAYNESBORO, PA 17268 Telephone (717) 762-3121

THREE CONVENIENT LOCATIONS:
Potomac Shopping Center - Center Square - Waynesboro Mall

24 Hour Banking Available at the Waynesboro Mall

LEGAL NOTICES, cont.

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF THE 39TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF PENN-OF PENNSYLVANIA -FRANKLIN COUNTY BRANCH

IOHN S. WETZEL. : CIVIL ACTION -RODNEY D. : LAW WETZEL and LISA J.: WETZEL, his wife, : Plaintiffs : No. A.D. 1983-79

JOHN RUSSELL, THE HEIRS OF JOHN RUSSELL, De-: ceased, and any and all: grantees and assigns of:

JOHN RUSSELL or his: heirs, and any and all: unknown parties in : interest,

VS.

:ACTION TO OUIET Defendants TITLE

TO: John Russell, The Heirs of John Russell, Deceased, and any and all grantees and assigns of John Russell or his heirs, and any and all unknown parties in interest.

You are notified that an order has been entered on August 27, 1984, directing that within thirty (30) days after this publication you shall commence an action of ejectment against the plaintiffs or be forever barred from asserting any right, lien, title or interest inconsistent with the interest or claim set forth in the plaintiffs' complaint to the land here described:

ALL the following described real estate lying the being situated in Montgomery Township, Franklin County, Pennsylvania, more fully limited and bounded as follows:

BEGINNING at a point near the Gearhart Road, being Township Route 317 Ext; thence in and by the latter North 88° West 310.0' to a point at lands of Marshall Gearhart; thence by the latter South 14° 36' West, 162.6' to lands of John S. Wetzel and Louise A. Wetzel, his wife: thence by the latter South 72° 16' East, 313.6' to a point in a private road; thence in and by the center of said private road North 12° 16' East, 140' to the place of Beginning; AND CONTAINING 1.09 acres as per survey of Richard K. Fisher, P.E., dated July 24, 1977, and approved by the supervisors of Montgomery Township, on August 24, 1977.

LEGAL NOTICES, cont.

If you wish to defend, you must enter a written appearance personally or by attorney and file your defenses or objections in writing with the court. You are warned that if you fail to do so the case may proceed without you and a judgment may be entered against you without further notice for the relief requested by the plaintiffs. You may lose money or property or other rights important to you.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS NOTICE TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

> Legal Reference Service of Franklin-Fulton Counties Court House Chambersburg, PA 17201 Telephone No.: Chambersburg 264-4125, Ext. 213

> > Dennis A. Zeger Attorney for Plaintiffs 32 East Seminary Street Mercersburg, PA 17236

9-7-84

LETTERS OF TESTAMENTARY in the Estate of Anna M. Henson (who died July 27, 1984), late of Franklin County, Pennsylvania, having been granted to the undersigned, all persons indebted to said Estate are requested to make immediate payment, and those having claims will present them for settlement to:

> Frank A. Cressler and William Howlan Cressler. Co-Executors P.O. Box 323 Shippensburg, PA 17257

OR TO: Handler and Weiner Attorneys-at-Law P.O. Box 11866 401 North Second Street Harrisburg, PA 17108-1866 8/24, 8/31, 9/7/84

In this appeal, defendant asserts that the ordinance is an invalid and unconstitutional excerise of the township's police power. that the fee set for the permit is unrelated to the costs of administering the licensing arrangement and therefore invalid. and that the ordinance was applied arbitrarily to defendant.

The defendant states he knows of two cases where permits were not required. The township responds that it is not township policy to unequally apply the ordinance. As applied to defendant we find the ordinance was not arbitrary.

Where one challenges the constitutionality of an ordinance, as here, on the ground that it is an invalid exercise of police power, there is a strong presumption as to its validity. Exton Quarries, Inc. v. Zoning Board of Adjustment, 425 Pa. 43, 58, 228 A. 2d 169, 178 (1967); Bilbar Construction Co. v. Easttown Township Board of Adjustment, 393 Pa. 62, 70, 141 A. 2d 851, 855 (1958). The restriction is valid as long as it is applied evenly throughout the township. Exton Quarries, supra, at 53, 175-6, and bears a relationship to the public health, safety, morals or general welfare. Id., at 58, 178; 53 P.S. §§10603, 10604. We have found the ordinance was not enforced unequally within the township. Further, it cannot be said that this ordinance does not bear a relationship to the general welfare. It is up to the defendant to show that no such relationship existed, which was not done. And in making this determination we can look no further than the record before us. Anstine v. Zoning Board of Adjustment, 411 Pa. 33, 42, 190 A. 2d 712, 717 (1963).

Defendant also argues that the pemit fee is unrelated to the costs of administration and is therefore invalid. Neither can we agree with this contention.

The permit fee is based upon the costs of construction. This

Applications for a building permit shall be accompanied by a fee, payable to the municipality, based upon the estimated cost of the proposed construction as determined by the Building Permit Officer at the following rates:

Estimated Cost	Fee
\$0.00	\$0.00
201.00 to \$1,000.00	\$5.00
Each additional \$1,000.00 or	\$1.00
part thereof beyond the first	# - * * *
\$1,000.00	

Section 2.06 "Fees" provides:

is reasonable. Included within the authority to enact building codes and regulations is the power to require a permit fee. Berwick Lumber and Supply Co. v. City of Harrisburg. 52 Dauphin 275, 284-286 (1942). While it is true the township cannot use a permit fee for the purpose of raising revenue, Raum v. Board of Supervisors of Tredyffrin Twp., 29 Pa. Cmwlth, 9, 49, 370 A.2d 777, 797 (1977), sometimes revenue will incidently result from the arrangement. But this does not divest the regulation of its police character. Berwick Lumber, at 284. Therefore, a permit fee based on the actual costs of construction is not unreasonable and will be enforced. Id., at 289. Moreover, a permit fee may include not only actual costs but any probable future expenses such as supervision, examination, or regulation. Berwick Lumber, at 287. Whatever that may be, a \$5 fee is not unreasonable.

It was not permissable for defendant to proceed with construction of the pen and shed without the permit, even if challenging the validity of the ordinance. *Middle Paxton Twp. v. Sweitzer*, 23 Pa. Cmwlth. 59, 60-61, 350 A. 2d 184, 185 (1976).

ORDER OF COURT

May 10, 1984, the defendant shall appear in Court on Wednesday, May 30, 1984, at 9:30 a.m. to be sentenced.



13 West Main St. P.O. Drawer 391 717-762-8161



TRUST SERVICES
COMPETENT AND COMPLETE

YOUR CLIENT DESERVES THE BEST

So When It Comes To Property Management Refer Them To Our Full Time PROPERTY MANAGEMENT DEPT.



Dean M. Smuro G.R.I. Broker Rich Bateman Property Mgr.



WAYNESBORO, PA 17268 Telephone (717) 762-3121

THREE CONVENIENT LOCATIONS:
Potomac Shopping Center - Center Square - Waynesboro Mall

24 Hour Banking Available at the Waynesboro Mall